Round:	
Team #: P	v. D

9th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot (2011) (An Inter-University Competition for Asia-Pacific Region)

(March 3-5, 2011)

JUDGE SCORESHEET - ORAL PRESENTATION

(Chief Judge) Name of Judge:	Ro	oom:

CRITERIA	Range		/ Defendant
		First Counsel	Second Counsel
Content and Development of Argument - Familiarity with law - Understanding of legal issues - Use of legal authorities - Use of policy - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case - Organization of case - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness	Fair 1-9 Average 10-21 Good 22-31 Excellent 32-40		
- Allocation of time		/40	/40
Questions from the Bench - Composure - Ability to provide direct, responsive answers - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues with court	Fair 1 – 4 Average 5 – 10 Good 11 – 16 Excellent 17 – 20		
 Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully Ability to return to argument after questions 		/20	/20
Speaking ability and delivery - Confidence - Mannerism - Rapport with the Bench	Fair 1 – 4 Average 5 – 10 Good 11 – 16 Excellent 17 – 20		
- Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact		/20	/20
	TOTAL		
		(Out of 80)	(Out of 80)
** Mark Reduction Any team members, regardless of First/Second Counsel, who mention the university name of their respective	A fixed mark of 20 will be deducted from the above		
team under whatever reasons and/or circumstances	total.	(Please "✓" to aler	t us their violation.)

Additional Comments (Optional)	
Please provide your comments on the space provided below:	

SIGNATURE: _____ (Scoresheets <u>cannot</u> be validated without signature)

Round:	
Team #: P	v. D

9th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot (2011) (An Inter-University Competition for Asia-Pacific Region) (March 3-5, 2011)

JUDGE SCORESHEET – ORAL PRESENTATION

(Other Judge) Name of Judge:	Room:
------------------------------	-------

CRITERIA	Range		/ Defendant
		First Counsel	Second Counsel
Content and Development of Argument - Familiarity with law - Understanding of legal issues - Use of legal authorities - Use of policy - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case - Organization of case - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness	Fair 1-7 Average 8-15 Good 16-23 Excellent 24-30		
- Allocation of time		/30	/30
Questions from the Bench - Composure - Ability to provide direct, responsive answers - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues with court	Fair 1 – 4 Average 5 – 8 Good 9 – 12 Excellent 13 – 15		
 Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully Ability to return to argument after questions 		/15	/15
Speaking ability and delivery - Confidence - Mannerism - Rapport with the Bench	Fair 1 – 4 Average 5 – 8 Good 9 – 12 Excellent 13 – 15		
- Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact		/15	/15
	TOTAL		
		(Out of 60)	(Out of 60)
** Mark Reduction Any team members, regardless of First/Second Counsel, who mention the university name of their respective	A fixed mark of 20 will be deducted from the above		
team under whatever reasons and/or circumstances	total.	(Please "✓" to aler	t us their violation.)

Additional Comments (Optional)	
Please provide your comments on the space provided below:	

SIGNATURE:	(Scoresheets cannot be validated without signature)
------------	------------------------------------------------------------