The 2nd Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot, Hong Kong (28 February 2004)

ORAL PLEADINGS SCORESHEET

(For selection of the **Best Mooter** in the **General Rounds**)

Name of Judge:	Courtroom:
Oral pleadings are to be scored on a scale of following scale (please provide written comme 50-54 = Extremely Poor; 55-64 = Very Poor; 76-84 = Above Average; 85-89 = Good; 95-99 = Extremely good; 100 = Excellent	ents, if any, on a separate paper): or; 65-74 = Poor; 75 = Average;
General elements to consider in scoring the oralists: Knowledge of the facts and the legal principles directly applicable to the facts. Proper and articulate analysis of the issues Knowledge and use of authorities. Logic and reasoning. Ingenuity (able to argue by analogy from related aspects of humanitarian law) Clarity and organisation. Persuasiveness	
APPLICANT Team No.	
First Oralist	Score
Second Oralist	Score
RESPONDENT Team No.	
First Oralist	Score
Second Oralist	Score
SIGNATURE:	

Note: Scores given by the two judges of each oral hearing will be averaged to give a final score of an individual oralist.