## The 2<sup>nd</sup> Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot, Hong Kong (28 February 2004)

## JUDGE'S SCORESHEET – ORAL PRESENTATION (GENERAL ROUND)

| Name of Judge: | Courtroom: |  |
|----------------|------------|--|
|                |            |  |

| ISSUE                 | CRITERIA  | Range     |       | MAX | SCORE FOR<br>APPLICANT TEAM | SCORE FOR<br>RESPONDENT TEAM |
|-----------------------|---|-----------|-------|-----|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Content of            | - Familiarity with law  | Poor      | 0-19  |     |                             |                              |
| Argument              | - Understanding of legal issues   | Average   | 20-29 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Use of legal authorities  | Good      | 30-34 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | <ul><li>Use of policy</li><li>Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case</li></ul>               | Excellent | 35-40 | 60  | (Out of 60)                 | (Out of 60)                  |
| Development of        | - Counsel's introduction  | Poor      | 0-7   |     |                             |                              |
| Argument              | - Organization of case  | Average   | 8-10  |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness  | Good      | 11-13 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Allocation of time  | Excellent | 14-15 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Conclusion  |           |       | 25  | (Out of 25)                 | (Out of 25)                  |
| <b>Questions from</b> | - Composure   | Poor      | 0-7   |     |                             |                              |
| the Bench             | - Use of direct responsive answers  | Average   | 8-10  |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues to court   | Good      | 11-13 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges  | Excellent | 14-15 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully - Ability to return to argument after questions |           |       | 25  | (Out of 25)                 | (Out of 25)                  |
| Speaking ability      | - Confidence  | Poor      | 0-12  |     |                             |                              |
| and delivery          | - Mannerism   | Average   | 13-17 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Rapport with the Bench  | Good      | 18-22 |     |                             |                              |
|                       | - Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact  | Excellent | 23-25 |     |                             |                              |
|                       |   |           |       | 40  | (Out of 40)                 | (Out of 40)                  |
| TOTAL                 |   |           |       | 150 |                             |                              |

Note: In the general rounds, scores given by the two judges of each hearing will be summed up for an aggregate score out of 300.