

**The 2<sup>nd</sup> Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot, Hong Kong (28 February 2004)**

**JUDGE'S SCORESHEET – ORAL PRESENTATION  
(FINAL ROUND)**

Name of Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>Range</b>	<b>MAX</b>	<b>SCORE FOR APPLICANT TEAM</b>	<b>SCORE FOR RESPONDENT TEAM</b>
<b>Content of Argument</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Familiarity with law</li> <li>- Understanding of legal issues</li> <li>- Use of legal authorities</li> <li>- Use of policy</li> <li>- Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case</li> </ul>	Poor      0-19 Average    20-29 Good       30-34 Excellent   35-40	<b>40</b>	<b>(Out of 40)</b>	<b>(Out of 40)</b>
<b>Development of Argument</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Counsel's introduction</li> <li>- Organization of case</li> <li>- Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness</li> <li>- Allocation of time</li> <li>- Conclusion</li> </ul>	Poor      0-7 Average    8-10 Good       11-13 Excellent   14-15	<b>15</b>	<b>(Out of 15)</b>	<b>(Out of 15)</b>
<b>Questions from the Bench</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Composure</li> <li>- Use of direct responsive answers</li> <li>- Ability to perceive and discuss important issues to court</li> <li>- Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges</li> <li>- Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully</li> <li>- Ability to return to argument after questions</li> </ul>	Poor      0-8 Average    9-12 Good       13-16 Excellent   17-20	<b>20</b>	<b>(Out of 20)</b>	<b>(Out of 20)</b>
<b>Speaking ability and delivery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Confidence</li> <li>- Mannerism</li> <li>- Rapport with the Bench</li> <li>- Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact</li> </ul>	Poor      0-12 Average    13-17 Good       18-22 Excellent   23-25	<b>25</b>	<b>(Out of 25)</b>	<b>(Out of 25)</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>100</b>		

**Note: In the final round, scores given by the three judges will be summed up for an aggregate score out of 300.**