

The 12th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot
(An Inter-University Competition for Asia-Pacific Region)
 (March 13-15, 2014)

JUDGE SCORESHEET – ORAL PRESENTATION

Name of Judge: _____ Room: _____

CRITERIA	RATING		Prosecutor / Defendant <i>(*in different color coding)</i>	
			First Counsel	Second Counsel
Content and Development of Argument - Familiarity with the law - Understanding of legal issues - Use of legal authorities - Use of policy - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case - Organization of case - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness - Allocation of time	Average Good Excellent	25-33 34-42 43-50	/50	/50
Questions from the Bench - Composure - Ability to provide direct, responsive answers - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues with court - Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges - Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully - Ability to return to argument after questions	Average Good Excellent	13-17 18-21 22-25	/25	/25
Skills of Communication and Deliverance - Confidence - Mannerism - Rapport with the Bench - Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact	Average Good Excellent	13-17 18-21 22-25	/25	/25
	TOTAL		(Out of 100)	(Out of 100)
** Mark Reduction If any team member, regardless of First/Second Counsel, mentions their university name prior to announcement of general rounds results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Please mark this violation to the box on the right for the concerned counsel; ● The Chief Judge will play a facilitating role to align the decision within the panel of judges to validate the mark deduction; ● A ONE-OFF fixed mark of 5 may be deducted from the concerned Counsel(s), resulting in a deduction in the total team score in this oral hearing. 			(Please "✓" to alert us their violation.)	

Additional Comments (Optional)
Please write your comments on the space provided below:

SIGNATURE: _____ (Scoresheets **cannot** be validated without signature)