The 11th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot

(An Inter-University Competition for Asia-Pacific Region)

(March 14-16, 2013)

JUDGE SCORESHEET – ORAL PRESENTATION

Name of Judge: _____   Room: _____

CRITERIA	RATING	Prosecutor / Defendant *(*in different color coding)*	
		First Counsel	**Second Counsel**
Content and Development of Argument - Familiarity with the law - Understanding of legal issues - Use of legal authorities - Use of policy - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case - Organization of case - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness - Allocation of time	Fair 1 – 10 Average 11 – 20 Good 21 – 30 Excellent 31 – 40	/40	/40
Questions from the Bench - Composure - Ability to provide direct**,** responsive answers - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues with court - Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges - Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully - Ability to return to argument after questions	Fair 1 – 5 Average 6 – 10 Good 11 – 15 Excellent 16 – 20	/20	/20
Skills of Communication and Deliverance - Confidence - Mannerism - Rapport with the Bench - Tone of voice, pace, eye-contact	Fair 1 – 5 Average 6 – 10 Good 11 – 15 Excellent 16 – 20	/20	/20
	TOTAL	**(Out of 80)**	**(Out of 80)**
** **Mark Reduction** If any team member, regardless of First/Second Counsel, **mentions their university name** prior to announcement of general rounds results: ● The judges should mark this violation on the relevant box(es) on the right; ● The Chief Judge will play a facilitating role to align the decision within the panel of judges to validate the mark deduction; ● A **ONE-OFF** fixed mark of **10** may be deducted from the concerned Counsel(s), resulting in a deduction in the total team score in this oral hearing.			
		(Please "✓" to alert us their violation.)	

Additional Comments (Optional)

Please write your comments on the space provided below:

SIGNATURE: _____   (Scoresheets **cannot** be validated without signature)