

The 17th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot
(An Inter-University Competition for Asia-Pacific Region)
 (March 6-9, 2019)

JUDGE SCORESHEET – ORAL PRESENTATION

Name of Chief Judge/Judges: _____ Room: _____

CRITERIA	RATING		Prosecutor / Defendant <i>(*in different color coding)</i>	
			First Counsel	Second Counsel
Content and Development of Argument - Familiarity with the law - Understanding of legal issues - Use of legal authorities - Use of policy - Awareness of strengths and weaknesses of case - Organization of arguments - Conciseness, clarity and comprehensiveness - Allocation of time	Poor Average Good Excellent	30-34 35-40 41-46 47-50	/50	/50
Answer to Questions from the Bench - Composure - Ability to provide direct, responsive answers - Ability to perceive and discuss important issues - Control of discussion, without interrupting Judges - Ability to dispose of collateral issues tactfully - Ability to return to argument after answering questions	Poor Average Good Excellent	18-20 21-24 25-27 28-30	/30	/30
Skills of Communication and Deliverance - Confidence - Manner - Rapport with the Bench - Tone of voice, pace and eye contact	Poor Average Good Excellent	12-14 15-17 18-19 20	/20	/20
	TOTAL		/100	/100
** Mark Reduction If any team member, regardless of First or Second Counsel, mentions their university name prior to announcement of general rounds results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Please mark this violation in the box on the right for the concerned counsel; ● The Chief Judge will play a facilitating role to align the decision within the panel of judges to validate the mark deduction; ● A ONE-OFF fixed mark of 5 may be deducted from the concerned Counsel(s), resulting in a deduction in the total team score in this oral hearing. 			(Please “✓” to indicate any violation.)	

Additional Comments (Optional)

Please write your comments on the space provided below:

SIGNATURE: _____ (Scoresheets **cannot** be validated without signature)